Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/729,656	HUANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amy Hsu	2622
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Amy Hsu.	(3)	
(2) Berkeley Law & Technology Group 503-439-6500.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 November 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative from Berkeley Law & Technology Group (Berkeley) reached at the general phone number informed examiner that the applicant has transfered the case out of Berkeley, but applicant also sent an email to Berkeley instructing to let the case should go abandoned. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendment	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		Lie
	SUPER	LIN YE VISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examine	er's signature, if required